

DISCUSSION PAPERS IN ECONOMICS

Working Paper No. 00-01

Does Evolution Solve the Hold-up Problem?

Tore Ellingsen

*Department of Economics, Stockholm School of Economics
Stockholm, Sweden*

Jack Robles

*Department of Economics, University of Colorado at Boulder
Boulder, Colorado*

January 2000

Center for Economic Analysis
Department of Economics



University of Colorado at Boulder
Boulder, Colorado 80309

© 2000 Tore Ellingsen, Jack Robles

Does Evolution Solve the Hold{up Problem?

1 Introduction

pp pp rrl pb _

pg pl pl r^kr

ll r f r p p l r k r g p p g g m r b l p
 p b m l ll r l p k r p p b f b r g p p g
 g m b p q a l r m b b r k r p p b r p
 b p j a p b k r g p p g m b b p r l
 r p g p f r r b b k b f ll p g a p,
 • \W b f b r g p p g g m b m l l g m r f a l r r'
 • \W b f m l b r l p p b p g m r f p'

l p p p r p g m l p l b m l r b l p
p p l f r g m r f l r p f r m r g p p g
r m p b l p b r r f g m r f
p m p b l p l r m l r g p p g g m
b p g g m r f a l r m p m l p l m m g m p
b b b p r p m r r b r l m b r k p g
r p r r l r p g m r f p b g m r b r k p g
l m l f b l r l m b p r b l p r
p m r b m g r b p b r n g r p r b l p p r ll
b b l r l p p p l b p r b l m k r ll p
p m p b l b m b ll l m p b r
m p l W n g b p p m p l l
l b g b r m l f r g p p g g m b r l
g g b g p r l r p l m g b r f b p b r p p
p p p p g m r f p b W l p p l
k m p m l l p a b m p b
b r b p b p p p g m r f p r p m b
l b l l n g r f b p g p r l f r b
r g p p g g m r r p r m p l p r
p l f b b l r l m n g b r p p r r g p p g g m
p m p p m p
b l r p l l p r b r l p g p r p l
p r p n g b p f r r p p b r p b b
p r l r b r f b r l b p r p k
b b r n g r p r l b ll p m r g
f r ll b r r p l l r p b b p m p p r
k p b r b p r r p p p f r l a l r m
r b m m k b f r r p p r g m p b b r n g
r p r b l r a r b r p b r p l b r b p
b r n g r p r b l p r p b p a l r m b b m k
b r l l f p m p r p k r b p r p m

2 Investment and Bargaining

$\mathcal{W} = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^N : \omega_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i = 1 \}$

$\Psi = \{ \psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \psi_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^N \psi_i = 1 \}$

$V = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^N : v_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^N v_i = 1 \}$

$\mathcal{W} \times \Psi \times V$

$\mathcal{S} \text{ gm } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p m r ll p m p b b m p gm}$
 $\text{pl m l p m p p b l m m gm b p l b}$
 $\text{pl p r ll r p b p l b r r p f l p r}$
 $\text{l p (gm } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p}$

3 Evolution

$\text{l p r pl r } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ m p r ng b m b l p f}$
 $\text{b b r g r r pl p r p r b m } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p m}$
 $\text{p f b b r g p r m r r}$
 $\text{b f b l p p gm p r r p pg}$
 $\text{l) p r l b p l k) p pg l) b p p}$
 $\text{p f r m gm l k) p sm l p l) p l}$
 $\text{b r } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ m } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ b r } \mathcal{R}^f$

$\text{r b l r r l A p B l b r population } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ N b}$
 $\text{r } t \in \{l; m\} \text{ r l m p p f gm p l p A p}$
 $\text{B p p l b p m p m r g p ng gm b f r g k}$
 $\text{b m gm l b l beliefs b r p p f pg}$
 $\text{p l p r r b p r g r l r b r p ll g p}$
 $\text{b r l } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ " } \cdot | \mathcal{I} \text{) p l r A l } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p r p ng l r B m p}$
 $\text{p l } \frac{3}{4} \cdot | \mathcal{I} \text{) p l r B l } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ l r A m p b "}$
 $\text{p } \frac{3}{4} \text{ r r) l r p p b f l m p p p b}$
 $\text{r p pg p b p m p l } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ r l r pg b}$
 $\text{l m gm } \frac{3}{4} \text{ l p p l r B m p x}$
 $\text{W } \mathcal{R}^f \text{ p l [b p l m p}$

Assumption 1 (i) The pie division is small: $V \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} > -$. (ii) The population is large: $V \mathcal{I}^* \mathcal{I}$

$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous map, $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ probability measure, $B(\mu)$ basin of attraction, μ' single mutation, neighborhood of μ , $\mu' \in M(\mu)$ mutation connected set, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \subset X$, $k_1, \dots, k_n; M(\mu) \cap B(\mu)$

$\{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$

$$x^L \in \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$$

$\{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$

$$x^M \in \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$$

$x^M \leq x^L \leq x^M$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^* - x^M &= N - I^* - V(I^*) - x^M - I^* - V(I^*) - x^M = N - N - I^* - I^* \\
 &> V(I^*) - x^M - I^* - x^M \\
 &\geq V(I^*) - x^M - I^* \\
 &\geq V(I^*) - I^*
 \end{aligned}$$

$\{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$

Proposition 3 *Let agents bargain according to the Nash demand game. The outcome x_0 is locally stable if and only if $x_0 \in \{I^*; V(I^*) - x; x\}$, where $x \leq x^L$.*

$\{x \in D_B \mid V(x) \geq V(I^*)\} \cup \{x \in D_B \mid V(x) < V(I^*) \text{ and } x \geq x^L\}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
p \ b \ b \ r \ X \rangle > r \ X \rangle \ b \ p \ r \ X > X^L \\
p \ r \ p \ p \ p \ p \ r \ p \ r \ b \ X^M > X^{NBS} \ p \ \rangle \ \parallel \ r \\
b \ p \ l \ \{ \ b \ b \ p \ p \ g \ p \ p \ p \ g \ l \ \} \ b \ r \ \{ \\
r \ X^L \rangle \geq r \ X^L - \rangle \ b \ p \ p \ p \ p \ r \ p \ r \ g \ p \ \}
\end{array} \\
\longrightarrow \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow X^L \longrightarrow X^L; \\
b \ l \ \{ \ r \ X^L \rangle \geq r \ X^L - \rangle \ b \ p \ p \ p \ p \ r \ p \ r \ g \ p \\
X^L \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow X^L \longrightarrow
\end{array}$$

p p p b p b r p p p p p l l r p⁸
l s p b r g p p g p r p g b r l f b
l p p g p p /^H b b V /^H - /^H

p p p l p l ll g p p b r l p b p k
 l b p p V l z p p p g p l ll p p k
 p p z p b p p b r p p r r
 b b p r b p p b Q p r pg sp M p N
 p r l y r p p x b r l r p
 f ll pg l sp b p p r r b r r g pg l p
 ll b r f r b l p p g l r p Q pg p \square
 r b p r r r p
 \backslash W r b p r r r p
 r f f r p p b Q p pg p sp pg
 p b pg b l f $\%$

(ii) If x_{\leftarrow} - then moving from x to

$x^{NBS} < x \leq x^L$ $\mu; \mu'_{\neq \delta}$ $x < x^{NBS}$ $\mu; \mu'_{\neq \delta}$ $x < x^{NBS}$
 $x^{NBS} < x \leq x^L$ $\mu; \mu'_{\neq \delta}$ $x < x^{NBS}$ $\mu; \mu'_{\neq \delta}$ $x < x^{NBS}$

A large block of mathematical symbols and expressions, including $\mu; \mu'_{\neq \delta}$, $x < x^{NBS}$, and various other symbols, arranged in a complex, somewhat chaotic pattern.

Lemma 11 *Let surplus be divided by the ultimatum game. The component with the subgame perfect outcome, $(I^H; V^H - x^{\max}, I^H)$, is a subset of the unique locally stable set.*

A large block of mathematical symbols and expressions, including $\mu^H \in T$, μ^H , $(I^H; V^H - x^{\max}, I^H)$, and various other symbols, arranged in a complex, somewhat chaotic pattern.

Lemma 12 *Let surplus be divided by the ultimatum game. Agents in population A receive a payoff of at least $V^H - I^H - x^{\max}$ in every equilibrium.*

A block of mathematical symbols and expressions, including I^H , V^H , and various other symbols, arranged in a complex, somewhat chaotic pattern.

Lemma 13 *Let surplus be divided by the 'ultimatum' game. If $V - I - x \geq V^H - I^H - x^{\max}$, then there exists an equilibrium μ such that $\mu \in \Theta^L$ and $\mu \in \{I; V - I - x; X\}$.*

r f m m f r m m l p □
 b f r f m g b m A b g r b p
 b g p b b l a l r m b p b r r g l r p b b b
 m r r p b l m m r m p b r b p
 g l r p r r p b l r b f b r l m m
 r f f r p r m m l l p l k b b b
 p g l ll l b b s m l p l b p m g l b
 b ll l □

6 References

- Mirrlees, P. A. (1976) *Journal of Economic Theory* 8, 101-114.
- Mirrlees, P. A. (1977) *Economic Journal* 87, 763-774.
- Mirrlees, P. A. (1985) *Review of Economic Studies* 52, 647-658.
- Myerson, R. (1985) *Games and Economic Behavior* 3, 253-278.
- Myerson, R. (1986) *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 101, 61-91.
- Myerson, R. (1987) *Journal of Economic Theory* 41, 54-63.
- Myerson, R. (1988) *American Economic Review* 78, 1001-1010.
- Myerson, R. (1989) *Theoretical Population Biology* 35, 1-15.
- Myerson, R. (1991) *Games and Economic Behavior* 3, 253-278.
- Myerson, R. (1992) *Journal of Political Economy* 100, 1323-1338.
- Myerson, R. (1993) *Econometrica* 61, 1307-1324.
- Myerson, R. (1994) *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization* 10, 1-15.

LL A^M L E Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications, J. J. B. R. R.

Y L E Y Econometrica

Y L E Y Journal of Economic Theory

Y L E Y Review of Economic Studies