

strained variations in appendix C.

is stationary for all variations of (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) with x_0 and x_n held fixed. This yields the Euler-

2.1. Hamiltonian formulation

in the Lagrangian description before translating them into the Hamiltonian represen-

constant term in eq. (2.10) or eq. (2.11) analogous to K in eq. (2.7).

parity-reversal (\mathbb{P}) symmetries of a dynamical

the condition that

cal system as the properties that, given any orbit segment (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) , the sequences $(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0)$ and $(-x_0, -x_1, \dots, -x_n)$, respectively, are also orbit segments. In terms of the equation \mathbb{P} symmetry is the property that

$$F(x, x^*) = F(-x^*, -x) + R(x) - R(x^*), \quad (2.12)$$

2.6. Examples

As an example, consider the generalized standard map

where k is the nonlinearity parameter. This is an even function so the map

$$x^* = x + y - \frac{k}{2\pi} \sin 2\pi x,$$

Eq. (2.10) with $Q(x) = -V(x)$, (2.11)

This satisfies eq. (2.10) with $Q(x) = -V(x)$,

generated by $F = \frac{1}{2}y^2 - V(x)$ with the standard

Theorem 1. True intersections of φ_2 -extremizing rotational curves C and C^* generated by an invertible circle map ρ belong to families which are orbits under the area-preserving map T .

To see this, let there be a true intersection at $\theta = \theta_0$. That is, let $\Delta Y(\theta_0) = 0$. Then the

(6.7) and $x_n \equiv x_0 + m$. Then the first variation of the action

$$W_{m,n} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F(x_j, x_{j+1}) \quad (6.8)$$

is zero because $\Delta Y(\theta_j)$ is zero. Calculating the

add part of $\Delta V > (\gamma)$ to the cubic correction in segments which we seek lie on the unstable man

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

en (9.16)

ifold of this fixed point (The anticausal solu-

$\Delta V > (\gamma)$

[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

We can now calculate $\Delta Y^>(x) = -(k/2\pi) \times \sin 2\pi b(x)$, find its cubic component, and

(the correspondence between orbits and intersections is not complete when the associated cir-

rotation we have full control over their rotation numbers. It is these solutions which would provide the basis for defining a generalized action-angle representation. One could use a truncated Farey tree construction to define the principal resonances in the domain of interest

between each resonance) and use the curves C, C^* , or the time-symmetric curve specified parametrically by $x = X(\theta), y = \frac{1}{2}[Y_+(\theta) + Y_-(\theta)]$

ciently small k . The transformation to the new phase-space coordinates would then be completed by interpolation (rather than by using the

ing to all irrational rotation numbers since these are not in general smooth and are not continuously connected to the resonance surfaces).

We have studied only the lowest order resonances in detail. It would be interesting to study

a rotational invariant curve or a cantorus. In the former case ϕ_2 is obviously a local (and global) minimum on the invariant curve since it was

that ϕ_2 is also a local minimum on a cantorus

tions as the control parameter is varied would also be interesting to investigate, as well as the implications of this method for the theory of transport in area preserving maps.

One of us (R.L.D.) would like to acknowledge L. Chim for assistance with the analytic single mode calculation, and W.A. Coppel and B.G. Kenny for suggesting useful references. It is a pleasure also to acknowledge useful comments from J.M. Greene and R.S. MacKay on the relation between symmetries and generating functions. One of us (J.D.M.) acknowledges the sup-

port of the US National Science Foundation, under grant NSF-DMS9001103.

Appendix A. Circle map identity

used to prove relationships (sum rules) between the Fourier coefficients of a circle map, its sum-difference representation and its inverse. We

could equally well be derived in the θ space

$$\int_0^1 F(x^* - x) [x'_+(\eta) - x'_-(\eta)] d\eta \equiv 0, \quad (A.1)$$

for any integrable function $F(x) = f'(x)$. Here $x^* - x$ is a shorthand for $x_+(\eta) - x_-(\eta)$. Equation (A.1) follows by recognizing that the integrand is the perfect differential $df(x^* - x)$ and

$$\int_0^1 F(x^* - x) x'_+(\eta) d\eta - \int_0^1 F(x^* - x) x'_-(\eta) d\eta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 F(x^* - x) [x'_+(\eta) + x'_-(\eta)] d\eta. \quad (A.2)$$

In particular, choosing $F(\cdot) \equiv \cdot$ and $\eta = \alpha^{-1}(\phi)$ (assuming the inverse function exists)

representation of α^{-1} corresponding to eq. (3.2) is simply $-\Omega$.

Appendix B. Time-symmetric representation

A representation in which \mathbb{T} -reversibility (or otherwise) of the map $\rho : \theta \mapsto \theta^*$ is manifest is

