ßuid conduits have been observed experimentally],[but their properties have never been studied.

scaleL is proportional to the uniform conduit radius while vertical variations are assumed to be weak according to

$$r = r/L$$
, $z = \frac{1/2}{2} Z/L$, $L = R_0 / \overline{8}$. (18)

The proportionality constant in the characteristic length is chosen for convenience in working with the governing equations but will be rescaled to arrive at the standard form of the conduit equation(1). The boundary is now denoted by $\mathbf{r}=(z,t)=R_0+R(z,t)$ or $\mathbf{r}=(R_0+R(z,t))/L$ $\mathbf{r}(z,t)$. Hence the unit normal and tangent vectors for the conduit are given by

$$\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{c} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{H}_{c}} \quad \overset{\check{\mathbf{S}}1}{\mathbf{1}_{1/2} \frac{\mathbf{R}^{c}}{\mathbf{z}}} , \quad \ddot{\mathbf{E}}_{c} = \frac{1}{\dot{\mathbf{t}}_{c}} \quad \overset{1/2}{\mathbf{E}} \frac{\mathbf{R}^{c}}{\mathbf{z}} , \quad (19a)$$

where

$$n_c = t_c = 1 + \frac{R_c^2}{z}^{2 1/2}$$
 (20)

Velocities are normalized to the radially averaged vertical velocity of the uniform conduit

$$\mathbf{u}^{(i,e)} = \mathbf{u}^{(i,e)}/\mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \frac{gR_0^2(\ ^{(e)}\mathring{\mathbf{S}}\ ^{(i)})}{8\mu^{(i)}},$$
 (21)

leading to the long time $scale^{\hat{S}^{1/2}T}$ for vertical dynamics where

$$t = \frac{1/2}{2} t/T$$
, $T = L/U$. (22)

To nondimensionalize the pressure, the characteristic scale is chosen so that the vertical pressure gradient within the conduit balances the viscous force due to radial variation in the vertical velocity.

$$p_{\cdot}^{(i,e)} = {}^{1/2} \frac{p^{(i,e)} \check{S} p_0}{}, = \mu^{(i)} U/L.$$
 (23)

Like in dimensional variables, the nondimensional, modiPed pressure can be decomposed $\mathbf{S}^{(i,e)} = \mathbf{F}^{(i,e)} \check{\mathbf{S}} \; \mathbf{g}_h^{(i,e)}$, where $\mathbf{F}^{(i,e)} = \mathbf{F}^{(i,e)} / \mathbf{S}$ is the scaled, absolute pressure $\mathbf{F}^{(i,e)} / \mathbf{S}$ is the normalized hydrostatic pressure which takes the form

$$p_{\hat{T}_{h}}^{(i,e)} = \check{S}^{-1/2} \frac{(i,e)gz}{(e)\check{S}^{-(i,e)}z} = \frac{\check{S}^{-(i,e)}z}{(e)\check{S}^{-(i)}}.$$
 (24)

Surface tension was neglected in the discussion of the uniform conduit, but it will be included in the full system of equations for completeness, so it is normalized about a characteristic scale:

$$\div$$
 = / . (25)

The Reynolds numbers for the viscous ßuid conduit system are therefore debned for the two ßuids according to

derive information about higher order corrections in special cases. In what follows, we determine the scalings such that all corrections to the conduit equation areO().

A. Viscous, higher order corrections

The equations solved in deriving the conduit equa(ib)n in Sec.IIIB were a special case of the StokesÕ ßow equations, in which the vertical dynamics occurred over a much longer length scale than the radial dynamics. A convenient analytical property of the axisymmetric StokesÕ ßow equations, is that one can rewrite the nondimensional equations in the fath

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 p^{(i,e)} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r} r \frac{p^{(i,e)}}{r} + \frac{2p^{(i,e)}}{z^2} = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{2}$$
 (i,e) = 0, $\mathcal{L} = \frac{2}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \mathring{S} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r}$, (59)

where $\ ^{(i,e)}$ is the StokesÕ stream function, which is related to the velocity components by

$$u_r^{(i,e)} = \check{S}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{(i,e)}{z}, \quad u_z^{(i,e)} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{(i,e)}{r}.$$
 (60)

In the asymptotic formulation, the ßuid pressures and velocities were expanded in asymptotic series and expressions for the leading order term in the expansion were found. It was unclear

FIG. 2. (Color online) Figure vertically uniform, intrusive conthen perturbed by a steplike incrone can see the formation of a Department of a Department of the perturbed by modulated over interesting observation is that the within individual waves of the DS Buid.

and Whitehead observed a similar the amplitude of conduit waves except the small slope condition 1/2 R 1 evaluation gives 1/2 R 4.5 and 2, respinded the perturbed conduit radius threshold and inertial effects would need model the conduit dynamics accurately for Hence our criteria accurately predict the poof the conduit equation as an approximate definite face.

With the limits of validity of the theoretical mode ablished, viscous ßuid conduits provide an optimal or the precise, quantitative, experimental study of Dispersively regularized shock waves have attracted deal of interest in recent years due to their observat range of physical systems, to include ultracold, dilute 26,27], ion-acoustic plasma28], nonlinear optics 29,3 and shallow water 11], but careful comparisons of the data are lacking. One difficulty is the long leng me scales required for the study of DSWs. These redulated wavetrains are characterized by the preserve scales. One is the (1) scale of individual oscillations a other is a long, slow scale of wave modulations.

1 (generally, is different from debned in Eq.(2) wever, images from previous experiments demonst experimental study of DSWs is accessible in conduits, e.g., Fig2. In this setting, a DSW is cre steplike increase in the injection rate. This res ger trailing, vertically uniform conduit connected er, leading vertically uniform conduit by a regi ting conduit waves, as depicted in Fig.By use omated syringe pump, high resolution imagi measurement of the Buid densities and vis uantitative experiments are possible. With the ty of the conduit equation 1), measuremen itic DSW featuresÑleading and trailing edge edge amplitudeNcan be compared w ults of asymptotic modulation theorg, [3], the conduit equation) by the present au

equation is asymptotically equivalent in the small amplitude, long wave SW regimes, e.g., backßow and observed in large amplitude nut work shows that these fully nonling features of the reduced makin viscous ßuid conduits.

MENTS

sy and Karl Helfri was supported b 8973 and CA

^[1] J. A. Whitehead and D. S. Luthed, Geophys. Res80, 705 (1975).

^[2] J. A. Whitehead and K. R. Helfrich, iMagma Transport and Storageedited by M. P. Ryan (John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1990), pp. 53Đ76.

^[3] P. Olson and U. Christensenl, Geophys. Res91, 6367 (1986).

^[4] D. R. Scott, D. J. Stevenson, and J. A. Whiteheald,ture (London)319, 759 (1986).

^[5] K. R. Helfrich and J. A. WhiteheadGeophys. Astro. Fluid 1, 35 (1990).

- [14] N. K. Lowman and M. A. Hoefer, J. Fluid Mech. 718, 524 (2013).
- [15] M. Hoefer and M. Ablowitz, Scholarpedia, 5562 (2009).
- [16] D. J. Korteweg and G. de Vrie-hilos. Mag. Ser. \$9, 422 (1895).
- [17] T. B. Benjamin, J. Fluid Mech 29, 559 (1967).
- [18] H. Ono, J. Phys. Soc. Jp 39, 1082 (1975).
- [19] F. Serre, Houille Blanche 8, 374 (1953).
- [20] C. H. Su and C. S. Gardner, Math. Phys10, 536 (1969).
- [21] A. E. Green and P. M. Naghdi, Fluid Mech.78, 237 (1976).